

8 May 2014

General Manager Lane Cove Council 48 Longueville Road Lane Cove NSW 2066

Attn: Rebecca Groth, Senior Town Planner

By Email: RGroth@lanecove.nsw.gov.au CC: Angela.Kenna@planning.nsw.gov.au

Dear Madam,

JRPP Reference: 2013SYE105 Address of Site: 2-22 Birdwood Ave & 1-15 Finlayson Street, Lane Cove Application Reference: DA 194/2013 Description of Proposal: Stage 1 Concept Plan for the redevelopment of the site for the purpose of 4 residential flat buildings, basement carking and on-site landscaping

City Plan Strategy & Development acts on behalf of Turner (Applicant) and Orca Partners (Proponent) in relation to the above DA, which was determined by the Sydney East Joint Regional Planning Panel (JRPP) on 26 March 2014.

The determination is set out below:

1. The majority of the Panel (for: John Roseth, David Furlong, Julie Savet-Ward and Trevor Bly; against: Soo Tee Cheong) resolves that it would approve the amended application submitted on 21 March 2014, subject to a set of suitable conditions.

2. The Panel has considered the planning assessment report and the supplementary report, both of which recommend refusal, mainly on the grounds that the proposal does not comply with the FSR and height controls. However, the Panel has given major weight to the benefit of the site amalgamation and the consequential opportunities for good site landscaping as well as the simplification of parking and vehicular entry/exit. In the majority Panel's view, the public benefit of this amalgamation is commensurate with the relatively minor non-compliance with the FSR control. As concerns the additional height above 18m, the Panel notes that parts of the proposal are below 18m and that the top storey is well recessed.

3. The Panel wants it to be noted that its acceptance of non-compliance in this case should not be regarded as a precedent for other developments. The value of this amalgamation is that it brings the entire block into redevelopment, ensuring that no isolated pockets of single housing are left.

4. the Panel requests the planning assessment officer to prepare, by 11 April 2014, a set of suitable conditions. Following receipt of these conditions, the Panel will determine the application by communicating by electronic means.

5. Soo Tee Cheong voted against the proposal on the grounds of non-compliance with the height control, which, in his view, had a negative impact on Birdwood Avenue and Rosenthal Street.

The draft conditions were issued to the JRPP on Wednesday 7th May 2014.

This letter has been prepared in response to Lane Cove Council's Draft Condition of Consent for the above matter.



This letter is sent to the Council and copied to the JRPP for its information.

## **Response to Council's Proposed Conditions of Consent**

A review of the draft conditions have identified various matters that are considered necessary to be addressed to ensure the development may proceed in an appropriate manner. As such, it is respectively requested that the conditions be reviewed and considered to be amended as identified in **Table 1** below:

Condition	Content	Comment	New
No.			Condition/Action if relevant
1	Development consent is granted to staged development application No. DA13/194 that sets out a concept proposal for the development of the land known as 2-22 Finlayson Street and 11-15 Birdwood Avenue, Lane Cove comprising of the 17 lots listed below ("the site") for the purpose of four (4) residential flat buildings with combined basement car parking, on-site landscaping and a pedestrian through site link subject to compliance with the following conditions: Lot 81 DP10155 Lot 82 DP10155 Lot 82 DP10155 Lot 832 DP844967 Lot 832 DP844967 Lot 84 DP10155 Lot 85 DP10155 Lot 86 DP10155 Lot 87 DP10155 Lot 892 DP855900 Lot 891 DP855900 Lot 501 DP868066 Lot 501 DP868066 Lot 75 DP10155 Lot 76 DP10155 Lot 76 DP10155	The address references are incorrect.	Amend condition as follows: Development consent is granted to staged development application No. DA13/194 that sets out a concept proposal for the development of the land known as 2-22 Finlayson Street Birdwood Avenue and 11-15 Birdwood Avenue Finlayson Street, Lane Cove comprising of the 17 lots listed below ("the site") for the purpose of four (4) residential flat buildings with combined basement car parking, on-site landscaping and a pedestrian through site link subject to compliance with the following conditions: Lot 81 DP10155 Lot 82 DP10155 Lot 832 DP844967 Lot 84 DP10155 Lot 85 DP10155 Lot 86 DP10155 Lot 88 DP10155





			<ul> <li>Lot 891 DP855900</li> <li>Lot 502 DP868066</li> <li>Lot 501 DP868066</li> <li>Lot 91 DP10155</li> <li>Lot 75 DP10155</li> <li>Lot 76 DP10155</li> <li>Lot 77 DP10155</li> </ul>
7	The combined floor space ratio for all buildings on the site must not exceed 1.85:1 and the maximum number of dwellings that may be constructed on the site must not exceed 245 and shall comprise of a mix of studio, 1, 2 and 3 bedroom dwellings in the following proportions: 3% studio units 15% 1 bed units 25% 1 bed + study units 45% 2 bed units 12% 3 bed units	The DA clearly stated that approval was sought only for a maximum GFA/FSR and not for the maximum number of units or unit mix. Internal building layouts in the DA were indicative only and it was always intended that the maximum number of units and final unit mix would be refined in detailed design development. Council's DCP includes a unit mix guideline of at least 10% of each unit type (1, 2 and 3 bedroom) to be provided. Future stage DA's will consider this guideline and provide a response accordingly.	Amend condition as follows: The combined floor space ratio for all buildings on the site must not exceed 1.85:1. and the maximum number of dwellings that may be constructed on the site must not exceed 245 and shall comprise of a mix of studio, 1, 2 and 3 bedroom dwellings in the following proportions: 3% studio units 15% 1 bed units 25% 1 bed + study units 45% 2 bed units 12% 3 bed units
11	Provision of 4% of units that are in excess of the FSR pursuant to the LEP shall be dedicated to Lane Cove Council as affordable housing.	This condition is considered to be unreasonable. The subject development does not seek approval for affordable housing and at no point during the assessment or determination of this application was an offer made or a requirement to provide a proportion of affordable housing indicated. The appropriateness of the additional yield above Council's LEP FSR control was determined on the basis of the environmental assessment undertaken.	Delete condition





		We respectfully request that	
		this condition be deleted.	
14A	THE PAYMENT OF A CONTRIBUTION FOR	As the DA is for a concept only and no physical works, it would	Delete condition or amend as per
	ADDITIONAL PERSONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH	be appropriate to include a condition for Section 94	comment.
	COUNCIL'S SECTION 94	contributions prior to the issue	
	CONTRIBUTIONS PLAN. THIS PAYMENT BEING MADE	of a CC for future stage DAs.	
	PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF	However, if Council requires a	
	CONSTRUCTION	condition in this regard, a	
	CERTIFICATE AND IS TO BE	condition for contributions may	
	AT THE CURRENT RATE AT TIME OF PAYMENT. THE	be imposed stating that contributions are to be payable	
	AMOUNT IS AS PER THE	prior to the issue of a	
	CALUCLATION BELOW.	construction certificate for	
		future stage DAs and in	
	NOTE: PAYMENT MUST BE	accordance with the	
	IN BANK CHEQUE.	Contribution Plan applicable at	
	PERSONAL CHEQUES WILL	that time.	
	NOT BE ACCEPTED.		
	THIS CONTRIBUTION IS FOR	Should such a condition be	
	COMMUNITY FACILITIES,	imposed, we respectfully request that it clearly stages	
	OPEN SPACE/ RECREATION	that contributions are payable	
	AND ROAD UNDER THE LANE	proportionate to the number of	
	COVE SECTION 94	units proposed in <u>each stage</u>	
	CONTRIBUTIONS PLAN	should the development be the	
	WHICH IS AVAILABLE FOR	subject of multiple future	
	INSPECTION AT THE	stages.	
	COUNTER, LANE COVE COUNCIL, 48 LONGUEVILLE		
	ROAD, LANE COVE.		
15	A comprehensive Traffic Impact	A comprehensive TIA was	Amend condition as
	Assessment (TIA) must be	submitted with the subject DA. We respectfully request that	follows:
	prepared by a reputable transport consultant and	this condition be amended to	A comprehensive
	submitted with the first further	allow for a supplementary TIA	supplementary
	development application. The	to be prepared to address	Traffic Impact
	report shall be prepared in	detailed issues as they relate	, Assessment (TIA)
	accordance with Council's TIA	to the detailed design of future	must be prepared by
	Checklist. Any deviation from	stages.	a reputable transport
	the required report structure and		consultant and
	content must be endorsed by		submitted with the
	Council's traffic section. Council		first further
	will provide all available information relating to local		development application. The
	developments and transport		report shall be
	projects such as the Longueville		prepared in
	Road Intersection Improvement		accordance with





	Scheme.		Council's TIA Checklist. Any deviation from the
			deviation from the required report structure and content must be endorsed by Council's traffic section. Council will provide all available information relating to local developments and transport projects such as the Longueville Road Intersection Improvement
29	The on-site waste collection /	The type of garbage truck	Scheme. Amend condition as
	loading bay must comply with the Service Bay dimensions for the SRV vehicle class (3.5m x 6.4m; 3.5m vertical clearance) as per AS 2890.2.	(from the DCP) that will be used for the development is the one with dimensions $6.64m$ long x 2.37m wide x 2.40m high type of garbage truck.	follows: The on-site waste collection / loading bay must comply with the Service Bay
		The development has been designed to be consistent with this (and also regarding vertical clearance).	dimensions for the SRV vehicle class ( <del>3.5m x 6.4m; 3.5m</del> <del>vertical clearance</del> 6.64 metres long x
		We respectfully request that this condition be amended accordingly.	2.37 metres wide x 2.4 metre operational height) as per AS 2890.2.
41	The entry to the car park shall maintain a clearance height of at least 5.2 metres. This clearance height shall be maintained throughout the travel path and stationary locations of the collection vehicle.	This requirement for a 5.2 metre clearance height for the car park entrance was a matter that we had previously made representations to Council about. Our response (as made previously) is below:	Amend condition as follows: The entry to the car park shall maintain a clearance height of at least <u>5.2</u> 2.6 metres. This
		We are unsure where the requirement for a floor-to- ceiling height of 5.2 metres is sourced from as Section Q of Council's DCP (page 42) requires a clear height of 2.6 metres for residential waste rooms. The development is capable of complying with this	clearance height An operational height of 2.4 metres shall be maintained throughout the travel path and stationary locations of the collection vehicle, in accordance with the
		requirement. To further	DCP.



respond to this issue, we refer to the attached response prepared by Henson Consulting which confirms the following:	
"Lane Cove DCP Part Q – Waste Management and Minimisation provides guidance for travel height requirements for Council's waste vehicles. The diagram on page 47 shows the smallest Council Garbage Truck used for Domestic Waste Collection –Rear Load: a length overall of 6.64m, operational height 2.40m, and travel height of 2.60m(clear of sprinklers, lighting, and ducts etc), 7.5 tonne vehicle and load, turning circle of 10.7m. This ability to service residential buildings with 2.6m clear headroom was confirmed in 2014 with Council.	
As described in the TIS, there is sufficient headroom for this vehicle to access and operate in accordance with Australian Standard AS2890.2 2002 in the garbage collection areas of the basement of the subject development.	
There is a wide range of systems for dealing with waste including ducting, the proposed basement collection, collection from a central loading dock, and delivery of bins by hand or tug for collection of bins from kerbside. Discussions with major waste operators such as Veolia and URM Group in January 2014 confirm that they provide regular services across this range of operations to Council and private clients,	
	to the attached response prepared by Henson Consulting which confirms the following: "Lane Cove DCP Part Q – Waste Management and Minimisation provides guidance for travel height requirements for Council's waste vehicles. The diagram on page 47 shows the smallest Council Garbage Truck used for Domestic Waste Collection –Rear Load: a length overall of 6.64m, operational height 2.40m, and travel height of 2.60m(clear of sprinklers, lighting, and ducts etc), 7.5 tonne vehicle and load, turning circle of 10.7m. This ability to service residential buildings with 2.6m clear headroom was confirmed in 2014 with Council. As described in the TIS, there is sufficient headroom for this vehicle to access and operate in accordance with Australian Standard AS2890.2 2002 in the garbage collection areas of the basement of the subject development. There is a wide range of systems for dealing with waste including ducting, the proposed basement collection, collection from a central loading dock, and delivery of bins by hand or tug for collection of bins from kerbside. Discussions with major waste operators such as Veolia and URM Group in January 2014 confirm that they provide regular services across this range of operations

PLANNING BUILDING HERITAGE URBAN DESIGN



		baight of 2 fm	1
		height of 2.6m.	
		Discussions with designers and architects of multi –unit residential development indicate that 2.6m basement access to garbage is a common design solution. A nearby example is 17-21 Finlayson Street Lane Cove."	
49	The first further development application for development on the site and each further development application shall be accompanied by a statement demonstrating the commitments to delivering a benchmark in sustainable residential development in Lane Cove as detailed within the conclusion of the Sustainability Statement prepared by ORCA Partners Pty Ltd and dated 18 November 2013.	The Sustainability Statement submitted with the DA was prepared by Arup and not ORCA Partners Pty Ltd. We respectfully request that this condition be amended.	Condition amended as follows: The first further development application for development on the site and each further development application shall be accompanied by a statement demonstrating the commitments to delivering a benchmark in sustainable residential development in Lane Cove as detailed within the conclusion of the Sustainability Statement prepared by ORCA Partners Pty Ltd ARUP and dated 18 November 2013.

We trust that the above information is satisfactory to address the matters identified. It would be greatly appreciated that the above comments be taken into consideration and considered for the JRPP determination.

Should you wish to discuss any aspect of the contents of this letter, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on (02) 8270 3500.

Yours Sincerely,

David Ryan Executive Director CITY PLAN STRATEGY AND DEVELOPMENT